PEGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JUL 1 2 2006

Appl. No. 10/730,406 Reply Dated July 9, 2004 Reply to Office action of March 12, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim Objections

Claim 27 has been amended as required to conform to 37 CFR 1.75(c) to correct the listing of the claim upon which claim 27 was dependant.

The applicant's counsel apologizes to the examiner for the misnumbering of claims and is grateful for the examiner's correction of counsel's error.

Specification Objections

The applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner on his grounds for rejection of the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings do show every feature of the invention as claimed by the applicant. The "means to suspend" are the support ledges affixed to the side walls and are identified as element 9 in the drawings.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

Claim 5

Claim 5 has been amended to comply with the enablement requirement of 35 USC § 112, paragraph 1.

Claim 9

Claim 9, along with claims 7 and 8, is cancelled and the substance of claims 7-9 has been amended and incorporated into claim 1 as suggested by the examiner. The applicant believes that the amendment to the substance of claim 9 meets the enablement requirement of 35 USC 112, paragraph 1.

Claims 5, 11, and 27

These claims stand currently amended. The applicant believes that the current amendments to these claims obviates the examiner's rejection under 35 USC 112, paragraph 2.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC §§ 102 and 103

The applicant believes that the amendments to the claims obviates the examiners previous rejections under 35 USC §§ 102 and 103.

Conclusion

The applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the application and amended claims in light of the foregoing response and respectfully submits that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. If, in the Examiner's opinion, a telephonic interview would expedite the favorable prosecution of the present application, the undersigned counsel would welcome the opportunity to discuss any outstanding issues, and to work with the Examiner toward placing the application in consideration for allowance.

Payment of Fees

Credit card payment in the amount of \$795 accompanies this response. Form PTO-2038 is included with the response. The sum of \$795 is to be applied for an extension of time for response within the fourth month per 37 CFR 1.136(a) and 37 CFR 1.17(a)(2).

Respectfully submitted,

James M. Francis

Registration No. 52,909

James M. Francis Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC 300 W Vine St. Ste 2100 Lexington, KY 40507 (P) 859-231-3902 (F) 859-253-1093

Kevan Morgan Morgan Law Office, PLLC 130 North Hamilton St., Ste. 213 Georgetown, KY 40324 (P) 502-570-4701 (F) 502-570-4702